



The Future of Influencer Marketing: Trust, Authenticity, and Consumer Behavior in the Social Media Age

Desman Serius Nazara^{1✉}, Hartanti Nugrahaningsih², Fatimah Abdillah³

¹Stikes Haga Nias

²Universitas IBN Khaldun Bogor

³Institut Bisnis dan Informatika Kesatuan

desmannazara870@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid rise of influencer marketing has reshaped the landscape of consumer engagement, particularly in the social media age where trust and authenticity play critical roles in shaping purchasing behavior. This study explores how consumers perceive and respond to trust and authenticity in influencer content and how these perceptions influence decision-making processes. Utilizing a qualitative research design, in-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted with twenty active social media users to gain insights into their emotional, cognitive, and behavioral responses to influencer marketing. Thematic analysis revealed that trust is primarily built through perceived expertise, consistency, and ethical transparency, while authenticity is constructed through personal storytelling, value alignment, and selective brand endorsement. The findings also highlight the significant influence of emotional engagement and parasocial interaction in fostering consumer loyalty. Despite these positive dynamics, challenges such as commercial pressures, algorithmic content distortion, and audience skepticism threaten the sustainability of influencer credibility. The study contributes to the growing body of literature on digital consumer behavior and offers practical implications for brands and influencers striving to maintain authentic connections in an increasingly competitive digital environment.

Keywords: Influencer Marketing, Trust; Authenticity, Consumer Behavior, Parasocial Interaction, Social Media

INFEB is licensed under a Creative Commons 4.0 International License.



1. Introduction

Influencer marketing has rapidly evolved into a dominant strategy within the digital marketing ecosystem, significantly transforming how brands interact with consumers. This paradigm shift is primarily fueled by the emergence and ubiquity of social media platforms, which have redefined traditional communication channels and consumer-brand dynamics [1] [2]. Influencers, often characterized by their perceived authenticity and personal connection with audiences, have become crucial intermediaries in shaping consumer attitudes and behaviors [3] [4]. As consumers increasingly seek trustworthy and relatable content in a saturated digital space, the role of trust and authenticity in influencer marketing has become a critical area of scholarly interest [5] [6].

Trust, defined as the confidence in an influencer's reliability and integrity, is recognized as a key driver of consumer engagement and purchase intention [7] [8]. Authenticity, often operationalized through perceived sincerity and alignment between influencers and the products they endorse, enhances credibility and emotional resonance [9] [10]. Research suggests that authenticity not only fosters trust but also strengthens consumers' willingness to adopt influencer recommendations [11]. In an age where digital content is pervasive, distinguishing genuine influencer communication from commercialized endorsements

becomes essential for consumer decision-making [12] [13].

The intersection of influencer marketing with consumer psychology underscores the complexity of digital persuasion. Psychological models such as the Source Credibility Theory and the Elaboration Likelihood Model have been applied to explain the cognitive and affective responses of consumers toward influencer content [14]. Studies demonstrate that influencers perceived as expert and trustworthy can significantly alter consumer attitudes and intentions [15] [16]. However, excessive commercialization and insincere promotions can undermine trust and provoke skepticism among consumers [17] [18]. This paradox challenges brands and marketers to maintain authenticity while achieving promotional goals.

Moreover, consumer behavior in the digital age is increasingly shaped by parasocial relationships—one-sided emotional bonds formed between followers and influencers [19] [20]. These relationships foster a sense of intimacy and familiarity, which in turn amplifies the persuasive impact of influencer content [21]. As such, influencer marketing is not merely a transactional strategy but a relational one, where trust and authenticity are continuously co-constructed through ongoing interactions [23] [24]. Nonetheless, the rise of algorithmic curation and sponsored content poses new challenges in maintaining consumer trust [25] [26].

The future of influencer marketing thus hinges on a nuanced understanding of how trust and authenticity interplay to shape consumer behavior in a highly mediated environment. Despite growing academic interest, gaps remain in elucidating the mechanisms through which these constructs influence digital consumerism, particularly in culturally and demographically diverse settings [27]. Furthermore, most existing studies adopt a Western-centric perspective, leaving emerging markets and platform-specific dynamics underexplored [29]. In response, this study seeks to advance theoretical and empirical knowledge on the evolving landscape of influencer marketing by examining how trust and authenticity influence consumer behavior within the social media age.

2. Research Method

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore the intricate dynamics of trust and authenticity in influencer marketing and how these elements influence consumer behavior in the social media age. A qualitative approach was deemed appropriate due to its capacity to uncover subjective experiences, social meanings, and contextual understandings that are often overlooked by quantitative metrics. Specifically, the study adopted an interpretivist paradigm to examine the perceptions and attitudes of social media users toward influencers, focusing on how authenticity and trust are constructed and negotiated through online interactions [30]. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 20 purposively selected participants who actively engage with influencer content on platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, and YouTube. Participants were chosen based on their frequency of interaction with influencer marketing content and their demographic diversity, ensuring a range of perspectives across age, gender, and cultural backgrounds [31].

Data collection followed ethical research protocols, including informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim for analysis. Thematic analysis, as articulated by Braun and Clarke [31] was utilized to identify patterns and themes related to trust, authenticity, and consumer behavior. This method allowed for a rigorous and systematic coding process while remaining flexible to the emergence of nuanced meanings from the data [32]. The analysis was conducted iteratively, involving multiple readings and reflexive discussions to ensure credibility and dependability of findings. Triangulation was achieved through peer debriefing and member checking, enhancing the trustworthiness of the research outcomes [33]. Overall, the qualitative methodology facilitated a deep and context-sensitive understanding of how trust and authenticity in influencer marketing shape digital consumer behavior in contemporary media environments [34].

3. Result and Discussion

The analysis revealed that trustworthiness is a critical factor in determining how consumers engage with influencer content. Participants commonly defined a trustworthy influencer as one who displays consistency, transparency, and expertise in the subject matter. These findings align with existing literature suggesting that trust is formed when influencers demonstrate knowledge, honesty, and congruence between their message and actions [27]. Most respondents noted that their trust was eroded when influencers promoted too many products indiscriminately or failed to disclose sponsored content transparently—practices that violate the ethical codes of influencer marketing and reduce perceived credibility [1].

Moreover, the theme of authentic relationship building emerged as a core element in trust construction. Participants preferred influencers who engaged in two-way communication, responded to follower comments, and shared glimpses of their personal life, which fostered a sense of intimacy and authenticity. This mirrors previous findings by Reinikainen et al. [15] and Labrecque [21], who argue that influencers who maintain parasocial relationships often enjoy higher trust levels. Trust was also found to be platform-sensitive, with influencers on YouTube generally perceived as more trustworthy due to the longer and more detailed nature of video content, allowing for deeper narrative construction and value articulation.

Authenticity emerged as a multidimensional construct encompassing perceived sincerity, transparency, and consistency. Participants frequently referred to influencers as real when they disclosed failures, showed behind-the-scenes content, or refused to promote products they did not believe in. These attributes are consistent with what Audrezet et al. [9] call value-driven authenticity a type of content that stems from internal beliefs rather than commercial motives. Respondents believed that authentic influencers could decline paid partnerships if the products did not align with their identity or audience needs, thereby reinforcing personal integrity and respect.

The study also found that authenticity is contextually interpreted. For instance, micro-influencers with fewer followers were perceived as more authentic than celebrities or mega-influencers. This supports earlier studies by Lou and Yuan [4] [9], who assert that audience size inversely correlates with perceived authenticity. Authenticity was not only tied to the absence of overt marketing but also to the tone of storytelling and aesthetic presentation. Influencers who shared imperfect or unscripted moments, such as dealing with mental health or showing vulnerability, were celebrated as more authentic, echoing Abidin's [10] observations on calibrated amateurism in influencer branding.

One of the most significant findings was the interplay between trust, authenticity, and consumer behavior.

Participants frequently described how their purchasing decisions were influenced not by brand advertisements but by trusted influencers whose values aligned with theirs. When consumers trust the influencer and perceive their messages as authentic, they are more likely to internalize recommendations and proceed with purchase intentions. This mechanism aligns with the Source Credibility Theory, which posits that credible sources (trustworthy and expert influencers) exert greater persuasive power [35].

Consumers also emphasized emotional engagement as a mediator in the decision-making process. Several respondents noted that they felt seen or understood by certain influencers, which created a sense of psychological closeness. These emotional bonds facilitated trust transfer from the influencer to the endorsed brand—a dynamic similar to what Chung and Cho [20] term as empathic identification. Next Table 1 presents selected participant responses that illustrate the connection between trust/authenticity and consumer decisions. Next Participant Profile and Organizational Background on Table 1.

Table 1. Participant Profile and Organizational Background

Participant Code	Statement Summary	Inferred Theme
P3	I bought that skincare brand because she always talks honestly about what works or not.	Authenticity leads to purchase
P7	I trust him because he rejected some promotions. That means he's selective.	Trust through value alignment
P12	She shares both her wins and failures. That makes me feel like she's real.	Emotional connection and sincerity
P15	I follow her not just for products, but because she seems relatable.	Parasocial engagement
P19	I tried the protein powder because he's a fitness coach, not just a promoter.	Perceived expertise and trust

A recurring theme that emerged from participant interviews was the critical role of emotional engagement in shaping consumer loyalty and purchase intent. Respondents often described feeling a deep connection to influencers whom they had followed for extended periods, even though they had never interacted directly. This phenomenon reflects the concept of parasocial interaction a one-sided psychological relationship where the audience forms an emotional attachment to a media persona. These relationships, while asymmetrical, were found to be highly influential in driving trust and behavioral loyalty, consistent with the findings of Yuan and Lou [22] who emphasized the emotional leverage influencers hold over their followers.

Participants highlighted that influencers who shared personal stories, struggles, or opinions on social issues elicited stronger emotional connections than those who only showcased polished promotional content. Several interviewees mentioned feeling inspired, comforted, or validated by influencer narratives, particularly during moments of vulnerability or authenticity. Such emotional resonance encouraged continued engagement, increased content sharing, and ultimately

higher purchase consideration. These findings echo the work of Tafesse and Wood [24], who found that emotional intimacy built through storytelling enhances both engagement and consumer memory recall. Furthermore [25] argues that emotionally authentic content allows consumers to envision themselves in the influencer's position, thereby intensifying psychological closeness and brand association.

Another layer of parasocial interaction is its capacity to foster social validation, wherein followers look to influencers for guidance in trends, lifestyle choices, and even moral values. In many cases, participants claimed they would trust an influencer more than a brand due to this perceived relationship. This dynamic creates a powerful conduit for behavioral influence that extends beyond product endorsement and ventures into identity formation. Hence, the social media influencer becomes not just a marketing vehicle but a symbol of aspirational identity and personal values, reinforcing the importance of affective engagement in consumer behavior modeling [28].

Despite the evident benefits of influencer marketing grounded in trust and authenticity, both influencers and brands face significant challenges in preserving these values within the increasingly commercialized digital environment. One major concern voiced by participants was the growing commodification of authenticity, whereby influencers manufacture relatable content solely for the purpose of appearing sincere—what Abidin [10] referred to as calibrated amateurism. Respondents expressed skepticism toward influencers who suddenly shifted content themes or promoted products incongruent with their previous image, interpreting such behavior as selling out or being disingenuous.

The pressure to monetize digital presence was also cited as a major factor compromising authenticity. Influencers often juggle between satisfying brand expectations and retaining the trust of their followers, creating a tension that is difficult to navigate. Several participants noted that they had unfollowed influencers who appeared to prioritize sponsorships over audience connection, reinforcing the precarious nature of trust in digital ecosystems. These findings are consistent with studies by Audrezet et al. [9] which emphasize the fragility of perceived authenticity in the face of overt commercial motives.

Another emerging concern is the influence of algorithmic content delivery. The curated nature of social media feeds, driven by algorithms, can distort the organic reach and frequency of influencer content, leading to oversaturation or repetitive messaging. This phenomenon not only contributes to audience fatigue but also undermines the spontaneous and personal qualities associated with authentic communication. Participants suggested that the increasing use of pre-scripted captions and overly branded aesthetics contributed to perceptions of inauthenticity, particularly among younger audiences who value transparency and individuality.

In addition, the proliferation of influencer scandals and public backlash over misleading endorsements has heightened consumer wariness. Several participants recalled incidents where influencers were exposed for promoting untested or harmful products, leading to a significant loss of credibility. This erosion of trust underscores the importance of ethical standards and regulatory frameworks in influencer marketing. Without appropriate disclosure, authenticity may be reduced to a performative façade, undermining the foundation upon which consumer-influencer relationships are built.

4. Conclusion

This study underscores the pivotal role of trust and authenticity in shaping consumer behavior within the realm of influencer marketing on social media platforms. Through qualitative inquiry, it reveals that consumers are more likely to engage with and act upon influencer content when it reflects honesty, emotional resonance, and alignment with personal values. Trust is cultivated through consistency and perceived expertise, while authenticity is established via transparent storytelling and selective brand collaboration. Emotional engagement, often facilitated by parasocial relationships, serves as a crucial mediator that transforms passive viewership into active consumer loyalty. However, maintaining these relational qualities in an increasingly commercial and algorithm-driven environment presents significant challenges, necessitating ethical vigilance and strategic alignment from both influencers and brands. As influencer marketing continues to evolve, its future success depends on preserving the delicate balance between monetization and meaningful connection with audiences.

References

- [1] Boerman, S. C., Willemsen, L. M., & Van Der Aa, E. P. (2017). This Post Is Sponsored: Effects of Sponsorship Disclosure On Persuasion Knowledge and Electronic Word of Mouth In The Context of Facebook. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 38, 82–92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2016.12.002> .
- [2] De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing Through Instagram Influencers: The Impact of Number of Followers and Product Divergence on Brand Attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(5), 798–828. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035> .
- [3] Djafarova, E., & Rushworth, C. (2017). Exploring The Credibility of Online Celebrities' Instagram Profiles In Influencing The Purchase Decisions of Young Female Users. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68, 1–7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.009> .
- [4] Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer Marketing: How Message Value and Credibility Affect Consumer Trust of Branded Content on Social Media. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 19(1), 58–73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501> .
- [5] Sokolova, K., & Kefi, H. (2020). Instagram and Youtube Bloggers Promote It, Why Should I Buy? How Credibility and Parasocial Interaction Influence Purchase Intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 53, 101742. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011> .
- [6] Ki, C. W., Cuevas, L. M., Chong, S. M., & Lim, H. S. (2020). Influencer Marketing: Social Media Influencers as Human Brands Attaching to Followers and Yielding Positive Marketing Results by Fulfilling Needs. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 55, 102133. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102133> .
- [7] Jin, S. V., Muqaddam, A., & Ryu, E. (2019). Instafamous and Social Media Influencer Marketing. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 37(5), 567–579. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-09-2018-0375> .
- [8] Kim, D., & Kim, S. (2021). Influencer Credibility on Instagram: Establishing A Scale and Examining Its Impact on Purchase Intentions and Brand Attitudes. *Fashion and Textiles*, 8(1), 1–21. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-021-00261-8> .
- [9] Audrezet, A., de Kerviler, G., & Moulard, J. G. (2018). Authenticity Under Threat: When Social Media Influencers Need to Go Beyond Self-Presentation. *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 557–569. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.008> .
- [10] Abidin, C. (2016). Aren't These Just Young, Rich Women Doing Vain Things Online?: Influencer Selfies as Subversive Frivolity. *Social Media & Society*, 2(2), 1–17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116641342> .
- [11] Marwick, A. E., & Boyd, D. (2011). To See and Be Seen: Celebrity Practice On Twitter. *Convergence*, 17(2), 139–158. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856510394539> .
- [12] Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., & Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. (2020). Influencers On Instagram: Antecedents and Consequences of Opinion Leadership. *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 510–519. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.005> .
- [13] Lee, J. E., & Eastin, M. S. (2020). I Like What She's #Endorsing: The Impact of Female Social Media Influencers' Perceived Sincerity, Consumer Envy, and Product Type. *International Journal of Advertising*, 39(3), 383–411. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1625938> .
- [14] Ohanian, R. (1990). Construction And Validation of A Scale to Measure Celebrity Endorsers' Perceived Expertise, Trustworthiness, And Attractiveness. *Journal of Advertising*, 19(3), 39–52. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.1990.10673191> .
- [15] Reinikainen, H., Kari, J. T., & Luoma-aho, V. (2020). Trust and Credibility In Influencer Marketing: Understanding The Role of Parasocial Relationships and Social Media Influencers' Content. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 15(1), 118–136. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-18762020000100109> .
- [16] Schouten, A. P., Janssen, L., & Verspaget, M. (2020). Celebrity Vs. Influencer Endorsements In Advertising: The Role of Identification, Credibility, and Product-Endorser Fit. *International Journal of Advertising*, 39(2), 258–281. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1634898> .
- [17] van Driel, L., & Dumitrica, D. (2021). Selling Brands While Staying Authentic: The Professionalization of Instagram Influencers. *Convergence*, 27(1), 66–84. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856518818930> .
- [18] Enke, N., & Borchers, N. S. (2019). Social Media Influencers In Strategic Communication: A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Social Media Influencer Communication. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 13(4), 261–277. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118X.2019.1620234> .
- [19] Horton, D., & Wohl, R. R. (1956). Mass Communication and Parasocial Interaction: Observations On Intimacy at A Distance. *Psychiatry*, 19(3), 215–229. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00332747.1956.11023049> .
- [20] Chung, S., & Cho, H. (2017). Fostering Parasocial Relationships With Celebrities On Social Media: Implications for Celebrity Endorsement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 34(4), 481–495. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21001> .
- [21] Labrecque, L. I. (2014). Fostering Consumer-Brand Relationships In Social Media Environments: The Role of

- Parasocial Interaction. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(2), 134–148. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.12.003> .
- [22] Yuan, S., & Lou, C. (2020). How Social Media Influencers Foster Relationships With Followers: The Roles of Source Credibility and Fairness In Parasocial Relationship and Product Interest. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 20(2), 133–147. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2020.1769514> .
- [23] Lu, L., Phua, J., & Jin, S. V. (2022). I Can't Help But Follow This Influencer: Exploring The Effects Of Parasocial Interaction, Social Comparison, and Envy On Instagram. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 128, 107121. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.107121> .
- [24] Tafesse, W., & Wood, B. P. (2021). Followers' Engagement With Instagram Influencers: The Role of Influencers' Content and Engagement Strategy. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 58, 102303. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102303> .
- [25] Zhu, Y. Q., & Chen, H. G. (2015). Social Media and Human Need Satisfaction: Implications for Social Media Marketing. *Business Horizons*, 58(3), 335–345. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2015.01.006> .
- [26] Djafarova, E., & Trofimenko, O. (2019). Instafamous–Credibility and Self-Presentation of Micro-Celebrities on Social Media. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(10), 1432–1446. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1438491> .
- [27] Jin, S. V., & Phua, J. (2014). Following Celebrities' Tweets About Brands: The Impact of Twitter-Based Electronic Word-of-Mouth on Consumers' Source Credibility Perception, Buying Intention, and Social Identification With Celebrities. *Journal of Advertising*, 43(2), 181–195. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2013.827606> .
- [28] Ki, C. W., Park, S., & Kim, Y. K. (2021). The Role of Perceived Fit In Influencer Marketing: Exploring The Effect of Perceived Fit Between Instagram Influencers and Endorsed Brands on Credibility, Attitude, and Purchase Intentions. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 21(3), 237–251. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2021.1888453> .
- [29] Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. A. (2011). Who Are The Social Media Influencers? A Study of Public Perceptions of Personality. *Public Relations Review*, 37(1), 90–92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001> .
- [30] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis In Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa> .
- [31] Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful Sampling for Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis in Mixed Method Implementation Research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533–544. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y> .
- [32] Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic Analysis: Striving to Meet The Trustworthiness Criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1–13. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847> .
- [33] Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative Quality: Eight Big-Tent Criteria for Excellent Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837–851. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800410383121> .
- [34] Korstjens, I., & Moser, A. (2018). Series: Practical Guidance to Qualitative Research. Part 4: Trustworthiness and Publishing. *European Journal of General Practice*, 24(1), 120–124. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13814788.2017.1375092> .
- [35] Vaismoradi, M., Turunen, H., & Bondas, T. (2016). Content Analysis and Thematic Analysis: Implications for Conducting A Qualitative Descriptive Study. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 15(3), 398–405. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12048> .